IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

CHRISTOPHER M. SANDERS,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

11-cv-311-slc

MS. LACOST, MS. NICHOLAI, JEFF PUGH, MS. WALLACE, ALFONSO GRAHAM, DEB DUELL, MS. LUNDMARK, MS. BURNS and MS. RICHARDSON,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Christopher Sanders has submitted a proposed complaint. Plaintiff has asked for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and has supported his request with an affidavit of indigency. The standard for determining whether plaintiff qualifies for indigent status is the following:

- From plaintiff's annual gross income, the court subtracts \$3700 for each dependent excluding the plaintiff.
- If the balance is less than \$16,000, the plaintiff may proceed without any prepayment of fees and costs.
- If the balance is greater than \$16,000 but less than \$32,000, the plaintiff must prepay half the fees and costs.
- If the balance is greater than \$32,000, the plaintiff must prepay all fees and costs.
- Substantial assets or debts require individual consideration.

In this case, plaintiff was recently released from prison. His affidavit of indigency lists upcoming monthly disability payments as \$646 for the month of May, and \$976 a month thereafter. Because plaintiff's financial information appears to indicate that his income will be less than \$16,000, he can proceed without any prepayment of fees or costs.

In addressing any pro se litigant's complaint, the court must read the allegations of the

complaint generously. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 521 (1972). However, because plaintiff

is requesting leave to proceed without prepayment of costs, his complaint must be dismissed if

it is legally frivolous, malicious, fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or asks

for money damages from a defendant who by law cannot be sued for money damages. 28 U.S.C.

§ 1915(e)(2)(B).

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff Christopher Sanders' complaint is taken

under advisement. As soon as the court's calendar permits, plaintiff's complaint will be screened

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to determine whether the case must be dismissed either because

the complaint is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted or

seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief. Plaintiff will be

notified promptly when such a decision has been made. In the meantime, if plaintiff needs to

communicate with the court about this case, he should be sure to write the case number shown

above on his communication.

Entered this 2nd day of May, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

STEPHEN L. CROCKER

Magistrate Judge

2